MJS/ UNITED S

MJS/SSR-

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

39201/61403

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

vog.otqen.www	
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 09/421,718 FILING DATE 10/20/1999 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
JOSEPH MICHAEL CHRISTIE

1128C

MATION NO

4530

28004

7590

04/01/2003

SPRINT 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100 EXAMINER
YAO, KWANG BIN

1710,121171110

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003

6

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DEGE | WE | APR 1 4 2003 | By_____

FINAL

Due Date: 6/0

Statuatory Deadline: / Date docketed: 4/12

Docketed by:

See:__

Atty:

nd 15. 1 706/01) =

APR 8 2003

ı,		A disadian No	Applicant(s)		
T A		Application No.	CHRISTIE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	09/421,718	Art Unit		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	2664		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Kwang B. Yao			
Period fo		appears on the core, energy	•		
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the next of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>17 January 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.			
3)	— most on the marity is				
•	on of Claims				
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
•) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.				
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.			
	on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner			
/—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.		
רייי(טו					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Gee the attached detailed Office action for a	ıl Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))			
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
а) \square The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has	been received.		
Attachmen					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10-18, 21-23, 28, 31-39, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Reiman et al. (US 5,706,286).

Regarding claims 1, 22, Reiman et al. discloses a telecommunication system comprising the following features: an interworking unit (Fig. 1, REF 14) configured to receive signaling and communications for a call, convert the communications for the call between a first communication format and a second communication format, and transfer the signaling to a signaling converter (Fig. 1, REF 18; Fig. 4, REF 62); the signaling converter (Fig.1, REF 18; Fig. 4, REF 62) configured to receive the signaling for the call and convert the signaling between a first signaling format and a second signaling format; the signaling processor (Fig. 4, REF 54, 56) coupled to the signaling converter and the interworking unit and configured to receive the signaling in the second signaling format and process the signaling to select a service for the call; and a service platform (Fig. 4, REF 54) coupled to the interworking unit and the signaling processor and configured to provide the selected service for the call. See column 17, line 64 to column 18, line 6.

Art Unit: 2664

Regarding claims 2, 23, Reiman et al. discloses the following features: the first communication format and the first signaling format comprise ISDN. See column 4, line 63.

Regarding claims 7, 28, Reiman et al. discloses the following features: wherein the second signaling format comprises SS7 (Fig. 1 REF 10).

Regarding claims 10-18, 21, 28, 31-39 and 42, Reiman et al. discloses the following features: wherein the selected service comprises collecting information form a caller; wherein the selected service comprises voice messaging; wherein the selected service comprises facsimile messaging; wherein the selected service comprises voice recognition; wherein the selected service comprises conference bridging; wherein the selected service comprises calling card calling; wherein the selected service comprises menu routing; wherein the selected service comprises tone detection; wherein the selected service comprises call forwarding; wherein the signaling processor is configured to process an initial address message. See column 1-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-6, 8, 9, 19, 20, 24-27, 29, 30, 40, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiman et al. (US 5,706,286) in view of Budhraja et al. (US 5,935,209).

Reiman et al. discloses the claimed features above. Reiman et al. does not disclose the claimed features of: wherein the first communication format and the first signaling format

Art Unit: 2664

comprise GR-303; wherein the first communication format comprises time division multiplexing; wherein the first signaling format comprises BISDN; wherein the first communication format comprises an electrical format and the second communication format comprises an optical format; wherein the second communication format comprises ATM; wherein the selected service comprises an internet service; wherein the signaling processor is configured to process the signaling to select an identifier for asynchronous communications and the interworking unit is configured to convert the communications for the call using the selected identifier; wherein the signaling processor is configured to process the signaling to select a VPI/VCI and the interworking unit is configured to convert the communications for the call a DSO and the selected VPI/VCI. Budhraja et al. discloses a system for managing fiber to the curb network comprising the following features: wherein the first communication format and the first signaling format comprise GR-303; wherein the first communication format comprises time division multiplexing; wherein the first signaling format comprises BISDN; wherein the first communication format comprises an electrical format and the second communication format comprises an optical format; wherein the second communication format comprises ATM; wherein the selected service comprises an internet service; wherein the signaling processor is configured to process the signaling to select an identifier for asynchronous communications and the interworking unit is configured to convert the communications for the call using the selected identifier; wherein the signaling processor is configured to process the signaling to select a VPI/VCI and the interworking unit is configured to convert the communications for the call a DSO and the selected VPI/VCI. See Fig. 1, column 3-6, 11-12. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Reiman et

Art Unit: 2664

al. by using the features, as taught by Budhraja et al., in order to provide an efficient data communication system.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2664

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwang B. Yao whose telephone number is 703-308-7583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4366. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

KWANG BIN YAO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kwang B. Yao

March 26, 2003